

PRELIMINARY ECOLOGICAL APPRAISAL

FOR WESTON TURVILLE PARISH COUNCIL

THE GLEBE, WESTON TURVILLE AUGUST 2022 | VERSION 1



Client	Project	
Sarah Copley Weston Turville Parish	Project Name:	Weston Turville Community Orchard
Council	Project code:	FN21-036
Village Hall School Approach	Prepared by:	Louisa Reeve, BSc (Hons)
Weston Turville	Reviewed by:	Louisa Medland CEcol MCIEEM
Bucks HP22 5RW	Authorised by:	Russell Hartwell
	Date:	22/08/2022

Document Control

Version	Date	Changes	Confidentiality	Prep	Rev	Auth
V1.0		Initial to client	N/A	LR	LM	RH

Client Agreement

This report is issued to the Client for the purpose stated in the Agreement between the Client and Future Nature WTC Ltd, under which this work was undertaken. The report may only be used for this aforementioned purpose and copyright remains with Future Nature WTC Ltd. The report is only intended for the Client and must not be relied upon or reproduced by anyone other than the Client without the express written agreement of Future Nature WTC Ltd. The use of this report by unauthorised persons is at their own risk. Future Nature WTC Ltd accepts no duty of care to any such party.

Field Investigations, Data & Reports

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work. Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by Future Nature WTC Ltd. for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. Furthermore, the findings of the report and subsequent assessment and opinions of Future Nature WTC Ltd are based entirely on the facts and circumstances at the time the work was undertaken.

Declaration of Compliance

"The information which we have prepared and provided is true and has been prepared and provided in accordance with the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management's Code of Professional Conduct. We confirm that the opinions expressed within this document are our true and professional bona fide opinions". It must be note that none of the information provided within this report constitutes legal opinion.

Statutory Disclosure Obligation

Where required to do so by law or regulatory authority, Future Nature WTC Ltd may disclose any information obtained from the Client to a third party. Should Future Nature WTC Ltd become aware that the Client has breached or is likely to breach legislation relating to wildlife or the environment, Future Nature WTC Ltd will be entitled to disclose such information to the relevant authority, including the relevant governmental body or the police.

Third Party Disclaimer

Any disclosure of this report to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Future Nature WTC Ltd at the instruction of, and for use by, our client named on the front of the report. It does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. No other warranty, expressed or implied is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Future Nature WTC was commissioned in December 2021 to undertake a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, including a data search, for The Glebe, Weston Turville, Buckinghamshire. The site is the location of a proposed Community Orchard.

The habitats on the site were classified using the UK Hab Classification System. The site was found to be dense scrub with more mature, healthy, species rich edges but collapsing blackthorn scrub and bramble and nettle patches dominated the centre of the site.

There was no evidence of protected species apart from birds found during the survey. The site was evaluated as having the potential to support badgers, birds, reptiles, bats and hedgehogs.

Recommendations have been made in the report to ensure that the proposed works will be of maximum benefit to local wildlife.

CONTENTS

Exe	ecutiv	e Sun	nmary	3			
Coi	ntents	5		4			
1	Intro	oduct	tion	6			
1	1.1	Site	Location & Description	6			
1	1.2 Report Objectives						
1	1.3	Site	Proposals	7			
2	Met	hodo	logy	8			
2	2.1	Desl	k Study	8			
2	2.2	Field	d Survey	8			
	2.2	1	Habitats/Protected Species	8			
2	2.3	Limi	itations to Survey	8			
3	Resu	ults		9			
3	3.1	Desl	k Study	9			
	3.1.	1	Designated Sites	9			
	3.1.	2	Priority Habitats	9			
	3.1.	3	European Protected Species Licencing	10			
	3.1.	4	General Land Use	10			
3	3.2	Data	a Search	10			
	3.2.	1	Local Records Centre	10			
	3.2.	2	Invasive Species	10			
3	3.3	Field	d Survey	10			
	3.3.	1	Habitat Assessment	10			
	3.3.	2	Schedule 9 Plant Species	12			
	3.3.	3	Protected Species	12			

4	Potential Impacts and Mitigation15					
4	4.1	Furt	her Survey	15		
4	4.2	Pote	ential Impacts and Mitigation Measures	15		
4	4.3	Enha	ancements and Recommendations	18		
	4.3.	1	Scrub Ecotone	18		
	4.3.	2	Orchard Trees	18		
	4.3.	3	Buglife B-Lines	18		
5	5 Conclusions					
AP	APPENDICES					
1	Appendix A – Site Photos					
Appendix B – Local Records Search24						
	Appendix C - Policy and Legislation					

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SITE LOCATION & DESCRIPTION

The site is located in the village of Weston Turville, 5km south east of central Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire with an approximate central grid reference of SP 8564 1071, as illustrated in Figure 1. The survey area is in the centre of Western Turville with an area of approximately 1.02 ha. The site is surrounded by the village and beyond which is a mosaic of smaller villages and farmland and a golf course to the north before hitting the built up area of Aylesbury.

Figure 1. Site Location¹



1.2 REPORT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this report are to:

- Identify statutory and non-statutory designated sites within 1 km of The Glebe;
- Identify records of protected and notable species within 1 km of The Glebe;

¹ QGIS baselayer – OSM Standard

- Identify the habitats present on the site and their potential to support protected and notable species;
- Assess any potential impacts to designated sites and protected and notable habitats and species; and
- Make recommendations for measures to protect the existing wildlife value of the site and that can be used to further enhance the value of the site for wildlife.

1.3 SITE PROPOSALS

Weston Turville Parish Council is planning to create a community orchard in the village to benefit the residents with a managed green space for everyone to enjoy. The Glebe is being considered as a site due to its central location and accessibility. The site is currently largely inaccessible to residents due to thick blackthorn scrub that is collapsing in places and the overgrown centre of the site.

Any changes to the proposed plans for the site may require the recommendations, proposed mitigation and/or enhancement measures provided in this report to be updated accordingly. A redline boundary of the site is shown on Figure 2.



Figure 2: Proposed Location of Chalfont St Giles Community Orchard²

² Aerial Image: QGIS Google Satellite

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 DESK STUDY

A desk study was undertaken to assess the nature of the surrounding habitats and included:

- An assessment of aerial imagery and Ordnance Survey mapping;
- A search of the Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website³ for statutory designated sites and records of European protected species within 1 km of the survey area; and
- A data search submitted to Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes Environmental Records Centre for Notable Species, Invasive Non-Native Species (INNS), Statutory Sites, Local Nonstatutory Sites & Priority Habitats within 1km of the site.

2.2 FIELD SURVEY

2.2.1 Habitats/Protected Species

The site was subject to a preliminary walk over survey, during which habitat types were identified and their boundaries mapped. Habitat types were defined as per the UK Habitat Classification criteria⁴ and a habitat map was produced (Figure 3). The habitats were separated into those measured by area and those measured as linear features, as required by the UK Habitat Classification criteria.

During the preliminary survey the site was also checked for evidence of protected and priority species, and habitats were assessed for their potential to support them. The survey visit was undertaken on 6th June 2022 in suitable weather conditions, as reported in Table 3.3.

2.3 LIMITATIONS TO SURVEY

The field survey and associated habitat condition assessment was undertaken within the optimal growing season and in good conditions. The results presented here are therefore not considered to be significantly constrained. Habitats are not static and can change over time therefore these results are only accurate for 12 months regarding bats and badgers (*Meles meles*) and 18 months for the remaining species and habitats. After this time results may require updating⁵.

³ Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (www.magic.gov.uk)

⁴ The UK Habitat Classification, Habitat Definitions Version 1.1 (2020)

⁵ Advice Note – On the Lifespan of Ecological Reports and Surveys, CIEEM (April 2019)

3 RESULTS

3.1 DESK STUDY

3.1.1 Designated Sites

A search of the MAGIC Website⁶ and local records centre indicated that there is one statutory designated site within the 1 km search area:

• Weston Turville Reservoir SSSI- This site is 928m away from the Glebe and consists of an unpolluted fresh water reservoir, fringed with extensive reed beds, tall fen and willow carr. These habitats, with the exception of the open water, are both rare and declining in Britain following widespread land drainage and agricultural intensification and improvement, and their rich flora includes species which are now locally and nationally rare. The reservoir is also of particular importance for overwintering wildfowl.⁷

3.1.2 Priority Habitats

A search of the MAGIC website and the Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes Environmental Record Centre data identified the following priority habitats within the 1 km search area:

- **Traditional Orchard:** There are five small patches of traditional orchard within 1km of the site, scattered around the village. The nearest is 150m north of the site with a larger area 215m west of the village which is connected to the site by open grassland and hedgerows rather than the built up area of the village.
- **Deciduous Woodland:** There is a 1.5ha area of deciduous woodland 420m north east of the site. Connectivity to this woodland is limited because of the surrounding village. To the south, approximately 800m away is the deciduous woodland surrounding Weston Turville SSSI. Connectivity here is also limited due to the surrounding village.
- **B-Lines:** The site is within the Buglife 'B-Lines', insect pathways along which Buglife is aiming to restore and create a series of wildflower-rich habitat stepping stones linking existing wildlife areas together.

⁶ Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) www.magic.gov.uk

⁷ https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/1002408.pdf

3.1.3 European Protected Species Licencing

The MAGIC website identified one granted European Protected Species (EPS) license within 1 km for bat species. See Table 3.2 for more details.

Table 3.2: Granted EPS licenses within 2km				
Reference	Species	Start and End Date	Туре	Distance from Survey Area (Closest Point)
2017-31512- EPS-MIT	Common pipistrelle	28/09/2017- 31/07/2019	Destruction of a resting site.	483 m

3.1.4 General Land Use

A review of aerial imagery and Ordnance Survey mapping indicates land use in the area to be a mosaic of villages and farmland before reaching the built-up areas of Wendover and Aylesbury.

3.2 DATA SEARCH

3.2.1 Local Records Centre

A total of 115 notable species were found within a 1km radius of the site including 65 birds, 2 amphibians, 4 bats, 8 plants, 30 insects and 4 non-flying mammals. No records were provided from within the site boundary. The closest notable animal species was a common toad *Bufo bufo* recorded 35m away from the site and the most recent record was a harvest mouse *Micromys minutus* in 2020. A summary of the records of protected or otherwise notable species provided by Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes Environmental Records Centre is available in Appendix C.

3.2.2 Invasive Species

Seven invasive species were found within a 1km radius of the site including American mink *Neovison vison*, orange balsam *Impatiens capensis* and fat dormouse *Glis glis*.

3.3 FIELD SURVEY

3.3.1 Habitat Assessment

A summary of the survey conditions are provided in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3: Survey Conditions				
Date	Average Temperature	Cloud Cover	Precipitation	Wind Conditions (Beaufort scale)
06/06/2022	15°C	75%	None	1

A total of one habitat feature was recorded during the UK Habitat Classification survey. This is presented in Figure 3. A summary of the habitat is provided below in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: UK H	labitat Classifications
Habitat	Description
Dense Scrub h3	The site was comprised of thick scrub. The outside had well defined edges with some species diversity including elder <i>Sambucus nigra</i> , hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i> and field maple <i>Acer campestre</i> . The interior was dominated by overmature blackthorn <i>Prunus spinosa</i> scrub forming a thick canopy preventing much light reaching the floor. In places the canopy was collapsing as trees have died and are rotting away. This has resulted in a very sparse ground flora including cow parsley <i>Anthriscus sylvestris</i> and garlic mustard <i>Alliaria petiolata</i> with large patches of bare ground. A footpath runs through the blackthorn scrub at the north of the site which is badly maintained with a muddy uneven surface and low overhanging blackthorn. The centre of the site has some clearings from the blackthorn scrub but they are dominated by brambles <i>Rubus fruticosus</i> , nettles <i>Urtica dioica</i> and cleavers <i>Galium aparine</i> and are largely inaccessible. Towards the south of the site and along the western boundary a few scattered mature trees are present including hazel <i>Corylus avellana</i> and field maple.

Figure 3 : Habitat Map⁸



3.3.2 Schedule 9 Plant Species

No non-native invasive species on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) were identified during the field survey.

3.3.3 Protected Species

The results of the field survey and data search in relation to protected species are summarised in Table 3.5 below.

⁸ Aerial Image: QGIS Google Satellite

Species	Evidence	Habitats
	during	
	survey	
	No	The data search returned 4 bat species recorded within 1km of the site:
		common pipistrelle Pipistrellus pipistrellus, soprano pipistrelle Pipistrellus
		pygmaeus, noctule Nyctalus noctulaand and brown long-eared Plecotus
Bats		auritus. The most recent record was from 2012. The site provides suitable
		foraging and commuting habitat for bats. The mature trees along the
		western boundary and to the south of the site contain a number of
		potential roost features in the form of cracks and rot holes.
	Yes	The data search returned 65 protected or notable bird species within 1km
		of the site including kingfisher Alcedo atthis, house martin Delichon
		urbicum and lapwing Vanellus vanellus.
		Eight species of bird were recorded during the survey including,
		woodpigeon <i>Columba palumbus,</i> blackcap <i>Sylvia atricapilla</i> and song
		thrush <i>Turdus philomelos</i> .
Birds		The scrub throughout the site provides nesting opportunities for birds
		including amber listed species like the woodpigeon and dunnock <i>Prunella</i>
		<i>modularis</i> that were recorded during the survey visit. The species
		composition of the site also provides excellent foraging opportunities with
		fruit producing plants like hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i> , blackthorn
		Prunus spinosa and wild plum.
	No	The data search returned no records of GCN within 1km of the site and no
		ponds are present within the site or within 250m of the site. A small brook
Great Crested		runs to the south of Weston Turville, 169m from the site. There are
Newts (GCN)		terrestrial opportunities on the site for GCN with the dense scrub
(Triturus cristatus)		potentially providing resting habitat and hibernacula however the lack of
		ponds near the site make their presence unlikely.
	No	The data search returned no records of reptiles within 1km of the site. The
		dense scrub has the potential to provide shelter and foraging opportunitie
Reptiles		for reptiles as well as hibernation potential. Although there are no basking
		opportunities on the site itself the surrounding meadow areas has shorter
		grass and patches of bare ground which are suitable.
	No	
Badger (Meles		The data search returned one record of badgers from within 1km of the site
meles)		but this was from 1966.

		The site is suitable for foraging and there is the potential for sett creation although no setts or signs of badger activity were observed during the survey.
Dormouse (Muscardinus avellanarius)	No	The data search returned no records of dormice within 1km of the site. Dormice are likely absent from the site as the site is an isolated patch of scrub with no connectivity to other woodlands or hedges. They will not be considered further in this report.
Hedgehog	No	The data search returned four records of hedgehogs within 1km of the site. The site provides good foraging, resting and hibernating opportunities for hedgehogs.
Brown Hare (Lepus europaeus)	No	The data search returned no records of brown hare within 1km of the site. The site does not contain suitable habitat for brown hares.
Water vole	No	
(Arvicola amphibius)		There are no water courses on site so it is considered of no value to these
and otter (Lutra		species so they will not be considered further in this report.
lutra)		

4 POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

4.1 FURTHER SURVEY

No further surveys for protected or notable habitats or species are recommended. However, the site does provide areas of suitable habitat for bats, breeding birds, reptiles, badgers and hedgehog and suitable mitigation measures to ensure these species are protected is provided in Section 4.2.

4.2 POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

The Glebe is being considered as the site of a new community orchard in Weston Turville. If this site is selected for the orchard the mitigation measures described in Table 4.1 should be taken into consideration during its design.

Table 4.1: P	Table 4.1: Potential Impacts on habitats and protected and notable species				
Habitat/	Potential Impacts	Mitigation			
Species					
Habitats		1			
Scrub	The creation of an orchard would require	The higher value areas of scrub habitat should be retained			
	the clearance of the dense scrub that	as much as possible to ensure that protected species are not			
	currently occupies the site	displaced and that the overall biodiversity of the site is			
		maintained or increased. The less dense, bramble and			
		nettle dominated areas along the centre of The Glebe and			
		some of the collapsing blackthorn scrub could be cleared			
		with the orchard created in these areas along a series of			
		sinuous clearings within the more dense scrub (Figure 4).			
		This would retain the healthy scrub habitat along the edge			
		of The Glebe while creating a new grassland and orchard			
		habitat within. This would also open up the area, making it			
		accessible to local people.			
Protected ar	nd notable species				
Bats	Positive – the foraging opportunities for	The orchard should be designed to retain the more diverse			
	bats will potentially improve after the	areas of scrub and the mature trees so that the habitats of			
	orchard has been planted if the existing	greatest value to foraging bats are retained. Replacing the			
	areas of greatest wildlife value are also	lower value areas of scrub with a variety of fruit trees and			
	retained. Fruit trees will attract more	allowing grassland to develop below the trees will provide			
	insects for bats to forage on.				

		additional habitats that will support a variety of
		invertebrates for bats to forage on.
Birds	The new orchard has the potential to	The more mature, diverse hedgerow species providing
	increase foraging opportunities and	foraging and nesting opportunities for birds should be
	create new nesting sites for a variety of	retained. The removal of the lower bramble and nettle scrub
	bird species.	may remove some nesting opportunities but significant
		areas would remain and the new fruit trees would provide
		new nesting and foraging opportunities.
		Scrub clearance should take place outside the bird nesting
		season (March-September) to ensure that any active nests
		in the low brambles are not affected.
GCN	GCN are unlikely to be present on the site	None
	despite the presence of suitable terrestrial	
	habitat as there are no ponds nearby to	
	provide the suitable aquatic habitat they	
	also need.	
Reptiles	The initial stages of orchard creation will	A precautionary approach should be taken during scrub
	involve clearing scrub and replacing it	clearance due to the potential for reptiles being present.
	with a shorter grassland sward and	The scrub should initially be cut to a height of c.250mm with
	scattered fruit trees. This has the potential	all arising's removed. After 48hrs the remaining vegetation
	to injure or kill reptiles and significant	can be cut to 150mm. This second cut should be
	changes to the habitats on site could	undertaken in a directional manner, moving from the
	result in an overall loss of habitat for	centre of the site towards the retained scrub to allow any
	reptiles.	animals present to escape. If the soil needs to be striped
		this should occur after another 48hrs.
		The retention of much of the higher value areas of scrub and
		the creation of fruit trees with areas of rough grassland
		would enhance the habitat on the site for reptiles.
Badgers	Badgers were not seen on the site but	There are currently no badger setts in The Glebe but
	there is potential for them to be using the	badgers can create setts in short periods of time. The site
	site. The creation of the orchard will	should be walked before scrub clearance begins to ensure
	increase foraging opportunities for	that a new sett has not been created. Badger setts can
	badgers but care should be taken when	extend approximately 30m from the sett entrance and
	the orchard is initially planted.	therefore there is potential for tunnels and chambers to be
		present below the orchard area if a sett is found in the
		surrounding mature scrub. It is unlikely that a tree pit would
		be sufficiently deep to excavate the sett but should one be

		accidentally disturbed during the planting, work should
		stop immediately and the advice of an ecologist sought.
Hedgehog	The scrub to be cleared has the potential	The area to be cleared for the orchard creation should be
	to provide foraging, hibernating and	checked before work begins to ensure no hedgehogs are
	resting habitats for hedgehogs. Although	present, especially if work takes place when they could be
	the orchard will provide some foraging	hibernating. Hibernating hedgehogs should not be
	opportunities for hedgehogs there will be	disturbed and works around it should cease until
	some potential resting and hibernating	hedgehogs are out of hibernation.
	habitats lost.	
Brown Hare	None	None

Figure 4: Recommended location of community orchard



4.3 ENHANCEMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations will ensure that the benefits to local wildlife and biodiversity are maximised.

4.3.1 Scrub Ecotone

In the centre of The Glebe there is currently a graded edge or ecotone down from the mature scrub with younger plants and blackthorn sucker growth forming a gradually lower margin down towards the bramble, nettle and cleavers scrub (photo 6, Appendix A). These transitional or edge areas are often the most biodiverse habitats in a landscape. With this in mind this graded edge should be retained in some areas when the interior low level scrub is removed rather than clearing right up to the taller more mature scrub.

4.3.2 Access

The proposed orchard includes the area around the current footpath to the north of the site. This would allow pedestrian access into the site. The current gate onto the site from The Glebe is overgrown and inaccessible due to brambles. In order to allow vehicular access to the site for orchard creation and maintenance of the site this area of brambles could be cut back and the gate reinstated.

4.3.3 Orchard Trees

Native species should be used as much as possible in the orchard. Buckinghamshire has a number of fruit tree varieties of historical and local significance which should be prioritised. Examples include:

- Dessert Apples (Ball's Pippin, Cox's Orange Pippin, Feltham Beauty, Langley Pippin)
- Cooking Apples (Arthur Turner, Small's Admirable)
- Dual Purpose Apples (Cox's Pomona)
- Damsons (The Aylesbury Prune)
- Plums (Allgrove's Superb, Bullace Langley and Stewkley Red)
- Cherries (Prestwood Black).

Species that are present in the nearby orchards should also be considered as this will increase the habitat available for species that are currently reliant on these trees.

4.3.4 Buglife B-Lines

Buglife's B-Lines project aims to create a series of series of 'insect pathways' running through the countryside and towns by creating a series of wildflower-rich habitat stepping stones. 'They will link existing wildlife areas together, creating a network, like a railway, that will weave across the British

landscape. This will provide large areas of brand new habitat benefiting bees and butterflies- but also a host of other wildlife.'9

Weston Turville is located within one of Buglife's B-Lines so the project could be registered with the organisation to help map the habitat available for pollinators.

⁹ https://www.buglife.org.uk/our-work/b-lines/

5 CONCLUSIONS

Future Nature carried out a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal on The Glebe, Weston Turville, to assess the impact of a community orchard.

The majority of the site is comprised of dense scrub mainly comprising blackthorn but with more diversity on the edges and with some shorter scrub in the centre dominated by brambles, nettles and cleavers. There were some mature trees along the edges of the site and towards the south of the site.

The survey identified the potential of the site to support protected species and assessed the impacts of the proposed orchard creation on them. This report recommends removing the bramble and nettle scrub in the centre of The Glebe to create the proposed community orchard. The higher value, more diverse, scrub along the edge of the site and the mature trees should be retained. Mitigation for habitat loss and to protect any animals on site include phased removal of the central scrub, carried out outside of bird nesting season. It is also recommended that some graded scrub edges are retained to maintain diverse habitats within the orchard and it is recommended to source local provenance fruit trees for the orchard. Overall, the creation of the orchard would enhance the biodiversity value of the site.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – SITE PHOTOS



Photo 1: Eastern edge of The Glebe – healthy species rich scrub





Photo 2: Western edge of The Glebe, scrub with some mature trees.



Photo 3 and 4: Dense blackthorn scrub, collapsing in some places, with sparse ground flora



Photo 5 and 6: Footpath through north of site.





Photo 5: More open area in north of site dominated Photo 6: Open are in south of site dominated by by bramble scrub



nettles and cleavers

APPENDIX B – LOCAL RECORDS SEARCH

			Latest	Total
Species Group	Scientific Name	English Name	Year	Records
Invasive Non-native S				
Birds	Aix galericulata	Mandarin Duck	2020	4
	Chrysolophus pictus	Golden Pheasant	2012	1
	Psittacula krameri	Ring-necked Parakeet	2017	3
Flowering Plants	Impatiens capensis	Orange Balsam	2011	3
Terrestrial Mammals	Glis glis	Fat Dormouse	1985	3
	Hydropotes inermis	Chinese Water Deer	2012	1
	Neovison vison	American Mink	2018	2
Notable Species				1
Amphibians	Bufo bufo	Common Toad	1990	1
	Rana temporaria	Common Frog	2002	3
Birds	Acanthis cabaret	Lesser Redpoll	2017	3
	Accipiter nisus	Sparrowhawk	2020	12
	Acrocephalus schoenobaenus	Sedge Warbler	2013	2
	Actitis hypoleucos	Common Sandpiper	2007	1
	Alauda arvensis	Skylark	2019	1
	Alcedo atthis	Kingfisher	2017	3
	Anas crecca	Teal	1974	3
	Anas platyrhynchos	Mallard	1977	5
	Anthus pratensis	Meadow Pipit	2015	1
	Apus apus	Swift	2019	5
	Aythya ferina	Pochard	2013	5
	Botaurus stellaris	Bittern	2015	4
	Cettia cetti	Cetti's Warbler	2010	1
	Chloris chloris	Greenfinch	2020	23
	Chroicocephalus ridibundus	Black-headed Gull	2017	4
	Columba oenas	Stock Dove	2019	12
	Columba palumbus	Woodpigeon	2019	3
	Corvus frugilegus	Rook	2018	2
	Cuculus canorus	Cuckoo	2010	3
	Curruca communis	Whitethroat	2017	4
	Cygnus olor	Mute Swan	2017	4
	Delichon urbicum	House Martin	2017	5
	Emberiza calandra	Corn Bunting	2010	2
	Emberiza citrinella	Yellowhammer	2020	7
	Emberiza schoeniclus	Reed Bunting	2020	12
	Falco peregrinus	Peregrine	2019	5
	Falco subbuteo	Hobby	2017	3
	Falco tinnunculus	Kestrel	2011	1
	Fringilla montifringilla	Brambling	2019	3
	Gallinago gallinago	Snipe	2017	3
	Gallinula chloropus	Moorhen	1974	1

	Larus fuscus	Lesser Black-backed Gull	2017	3
	Linaria cannabina	Linnet	2020	8
	Locustella naevia	Grasshopper Warbler	2013	3
	Mareca penelope	Wigeon	2017	4
	Mareca strepera	Gadwall	2017	4
	Milvus milvus	Red Kite	2018	5
	Motacilla cinerea	Grey Wagtail	2015	4
	Motacilla flava	Yellow Wagtail	2020	1
	Muscicapa striata	Spotted Flycatcher	2017	34
	Numenius arquata	Curlew	2003	1
	Oenanthe oenanthe	Wheatear	2017	2
	Pandion haliaetus	Osprey	2015	3
	Panurus biarmicus	Bearded Tit	2017	2
	Passer domesticus	House Sparrow	2020	10
	Perdix perdix	Grey Partridge	2015	1
	Phylloscopus trochilus	Willow Warbler	2017	4
	Poecile montanus	Willow Tit	1974	2
	Poecile palustris	Marsh Tit	2003	2
	Prunella modularis	Dunnock	2015	1
	Pyrrhula pyrrhula	Bullfinch	2013	17
	Regulus ignicapilla	Firecrest	2013	5
	Scolopax rusticola	Woodcock	2013	5
	Spatula clypeata	Shoveler	2010	8
	Sterna hirundo	Common Tern	2017	2
	Streptopelia turtur	Turtle Dove	2009	1
	Strix aluco	Tawny Owl	2005	9
	Sturnus vulgaris	Starling	1976	1
	Troglodytes troglodytes	Wren	2020	6
	Turdus iliacus	Redwing	2018	5
	Turdus philomelos	Song Thrush	2020	23
	Turdus pilaris	Fieldfare	2018	9
	Turdus viscivorus	Mistle Thrush	2020	2
	Tyto alba	Barn Owl	2020	26
	Vanellus vanellus	Lapwing	2020	12
Plants	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1984	2
	Buxus sempervirens	Box	2002	3
	Hyacinthoides non-scripta	Bluebell	1984	2
	Linum perenne	Perennial Flax	2002	1
	Onobrychis viciifolia	Sainfoin	1999	1
	Papaver argemone	Prickly Poppy	1984	1
	Plantago media	Hoary Plantain	1984	2
	Populus nigra subsp. betulifolia	Black-poplar	1984	63
Insects	Acrotrichis lucidula		1998	1
	Lasiommata megera	Wall	2001	2
	Pseudomalus violaceus		2001	1
	Acronicta psi	Grey Dagger	2002	2
	Aci Unicia psi	GIEY Daggel	2003	Z

	Acronicta rumicis	Knot Grass	1984	3
	Amphipyra tragopoginis	Mouse Moth	1984	4
	Apamea anceps	Large Nutmeg	1983	1
	Apamea remissa	Dusky Brocade	1983	1
	Caradrina morpheus	Mottled Rustic	1983	1
	Diarsia rubi	Small Square-spot	1981	2
	Ecliptopera silaceata	Small Phoenix	1981	1
-	Ennomos fuscantaria	Dusky Thorn	1981	1
	Hepialus humuli	Ghost Moth	1983	1
	Melanchra persicariae	Dot Moth	1983	1
	Scotopteryx chenopodiata	Shaded Broad-bar	1984	1
	Spilosoma lubricipeda	White Ermine	1983	1
	Spilosoma lutea	Buff Ermine	1983	1
	Tholera decimalis	Feathered Gothic	1981	1
	Timandra comae	Blood-vein	1984	1
		Dark-barred Twin-spot		
	Xanthorhoe ferrugata	Carpet	1984	1
	Dicranomyia chorea		2002	1
	Dicranomyia lucida		2002	1
	Eloeophila mundata		2003	1
	Gnophomyia viridipennis		2002	1
	Leopoldius signatus		2002	1
	Lonchaea iona		1988	1
	Macrorrhyncha flava		2002	1
	Mycomya occultans		2002	1
	Psacadina verbekei		2003	1
	Thaumastoptera calceata		2002	1
Mammals	Arvicola amphibius	Water Vole	1932	1
	Chiroptera	Bat	1986	2
	Erinaceus europaeus	Hedgehog	2018	4
	Meles meles	Badger	1966	1
	Micromys minutus	Harvest Mouse	2020	1
	Nyctalus noctula	Noctule Bat	1973	1
	Pipistrellus	Pipistrelle Bat species	2001	2
	Pipistrellus pipistrellus	Pipistrelle	2012	18
	Pipistrellus pygmaeus	Soprano Pipistrelle	2012	2
	Plecotus auritus	Brown Long-eared Bat	2001	2

APPENDIX C - POLICY AND LEGISLATION

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)¹⁰

The revised National Planning Policy Framework sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. It provides a framework within which locally prepared plans for housing and other development can be produced. Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan. The key paragraphs from the relating to the natural environment are detailed below:

Paragraph	Statement
174	Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environmer by:
	protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, sites of biodiversity or geological value and soils (in
	manner commensurate with their statutory status or identified quality in the development plan);
	recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from nature
	capital and ecosystem services - including the economic and other benefits of the best and most
	versatile agricultural land, and of trees and woodland;
	maintaining the character of the undeveloped coast, while improving public access to it when
	appropriate;
	minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity, including by establishing coheren
	ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures;
	preventing new and existing development from contributing to, being put at unacceptable risk from
	or being adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or lar
	instability. Development should, wherever possible, help to improve local environmental condition
	such as air and water quality, taking into account relevant information such as river bas
	management plans; and remediating and mitigating despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminate and unstable land, where appropriate.
175	Plans should: distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated site
	allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value, where consistent with other policies
	this Framework ¹¹ ; take a strategic approach to maintaining and enhancing networks of habitats ar
	green infrastructure; and plan for the enhancement of natural capital at a catchment or landscap
	scale across local authority boundaries.

¹⁰ NPPF July 2021 (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2)

¹¹ Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality.

176	Great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, which have the highest status of protection in relation to these issues. The conservation and enhancement of wildlife and cultural heritage are also important considerations in these areas, and should be given great weight in National Parks and the Broads ¹² . The scale and extent of development within all these designated areas should be limited, while development within their setting should be sensitively located and designed to avoid or minimise adverse impacts on the designated areas.
177	When considering applications for development within National Parks, the Broads and Areas ofOutstanding Natural Beauty, permission should be refused for major development ¹³ other than in exceptional circumstances, and where it can be demonstrated that the development is in the public interest. Consideration of such applications should include an assessment of: the need for the development, including in terms of any national considerations, and the impact of permitting it, or refusing it, upon the local economy; the cost of, and scope for, developing outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some other way; and any detrimental effect on the environment, the landscape and recreational opportunities, and the extent to which that could be moderated.
178	Within areas defined as Heritage Coast (and that do not already fall within one of the designated areas mentioned in paragraph 176), planning policies and decisions should be consistent with the special character of the area and the importance of its conservation. Major development within a Heritage Coast is unlikely to be appropriate, unless it is compatible with its special character.
179	To protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity, plans should: Identify, map and safeguard components of local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks, including the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity ¹⁴ ; wildlife corridors and stepping stones that connect them; and areas identified by national and local partnerships for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation ¹⁵ ; and promote the conservation, restoration and enhancement of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species; and identify and pursue opportunities for securing measurable net gains for biodiversity.
180	When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principles: if significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts),

¹² English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular 2010 provides further guidance and information about their statutory purposes, management and other matters.

¹³ For the purposes of paragraphs 176 and 177, whether a proposal is 'major development' is a matter for the decision maker, taking into account its nature, scale and setting, and whether it could have a significant adverse impact on the purposes for which the area has been designated or defined. ¹⁴ Circular 06/2005 provides further guidance in respect of statutory obligations for biodiversity and geological

conservation and their impact within the planning system. ¹⁵ Where areas that are part of the Nature Recovery Network are identified in plans, it may be appropriate to specify the

types of development that may be suitable within them.

	adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be
	refused;
	development on land within or outside a Site of Special Scientific Interest, and which is likely to have
	an adverse effect on it (either individually or in combination with other developments), should not
	normally be permitted. The only exception is where the benefits of the development in the location
	proposed clearly outweigh both its likely impact on the features of the site that make it of special
	scientific interest, and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific
	Interest;
	development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland
	and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons ¹⁶ and a
	suitable compensation strategy exists; and development whose primary objective is to conserve or
	enhance biodiversity should be supported; while opportunities to incorporate biodiversity
	improvements in and around developments should be encouraged, especially where this can secure
	measurable net gains for biodiversity.
181	The following should be given the same protection as habitats sites:
	potential Special Protection Areas and possible Special Areas of Conservation;
	listed or proposed Ramsar sites ¹⁷ ; and sites identified, or required, as compensatory measures for
	adverse effects on habitats sites, potential Special Protection Areas, possible Special Areas of
	Conservation, and listed or proposed Ramsar sites.
182	The presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply where the plan or project is
	likely to have a significant effect on a habitats site (either alone or in combination with other plans or
	projects), unless an appropriate assessment has concluded that the plan or project will not adversely
	affect the integrity of the habitats site.

Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006^{18 19}

Section 40 – To conserve biodiversity

Section 40 puts a duty on public authorities to conserve biodiversity when undertaking its duties and functions,

Section 41 – Biodiversity list and Action

Section 41 – Section 41 – Requires the Secretary of State *to publish a list of the living organisms and types of habitat considered to be of principal importance for the purpose of conserving biodiversity*. They must also *take such steps as appear to the Secretary of State to be reasonably practicable to further the conservation of the living organisms and types of habitat included in any list published under this section or promote the taking by others of such steps*.

The 2007 lists were superseded by the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework.

¹⁶ For example, infrastructure projects (including nationally significant infrastructure projects, orders under the Transport and Works Act and hybrid bills), where the public benefit would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of habitat.

¹⁷ Potential Special Protection Areas, possible Special Areas of Conservation and proposed Ramsar sites are sites on which Government has initiated public consultation on the scientific case for designation as a Special Protection Area, candidate Special Area of Conservation or Ramsar site.

¹⁸ https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/section/40

¹⁹ https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/section/41

K BAP broad habitat	UK BAP priority habitat
vers and Streams	Rivers
nding Open Waters and Canals	Oligotrophic and Dystrophic Lakes
	Ponds
	Mesotrophic Lakes
	Eutrophic Standing Waters
	Aquifer Fed Naturally Fluctuating Water Bodies
able and Horticultural	Arable Field Margins
undary and Linear Features	Hedgerows
adleaved, Mixed and Yew Woodland	Traditional Orchards
	Wood-Pasture and Parkland
	Upland Oakwood
	Lowland Beech and Yew Woodland
	Upland Mixed Ash woods
	Wet Woodland
	Lowland Mixed Deciduous Woodland
	Upland Birch woods
niferous Woodland	Native Pine Woodlands
d Grassland	Lowland Dry Acid Grassland
careous Grassland	,
icareous Grassiano	Lowland Calcareous Grassland Upland Calcareous Grassland
utral Grassland	Lowland Meadows
	Upland Hay Meadows
proved Grassland	Coastal and Floodplain Grazing Marsh
warf Shrub Heath	Lowland Heathland
	Upland Heathland
n, Marsh and Swamp	Upland Flushes, Fens and Swamps
• •	Purple Moor Grass and Rush Pastures
	Lowland Fens
	Reedbeds
gs	Lowland Raised Bog
-	Blanket Bog
ontane Habitats	Mountain Heaths and Willow Scrub
land Rock	Inland Rock Outcrop and Scree Habitats
	Calaminarian Grasslands
	Open Mosaic Habitats on Previously Developed Land
	Limestone Pavements
pralittoral Rock	Maritime Cliff and Slopes
pralittoral Sediment	Coastal Vegetated Shingle
F	Machair
	Coastal Sand Dunes

Protected Species Legislation

European Protected Species

European Protected Species (EPS) are species of plants and animals (other than birds) protected by law throughout the European Union. They are listed in Annexes II and IV of the European Habitats Directive and

²⁰ http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-5706

receive full protection under The Conservation of Species and Habitats Regulations (as amended) 2019. This make it an offence to:

- deliberately capture, injure or kill any European Protected Species (EPS)
- to deliberately disturb any European Protected Species (EPS);
- to damage or destroy a breeding site or place of rest or shelter used by any European Protected Species (EPS).

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) adds further protection by making it an offence to intentionally or recklessly²¹ disturb an EPS while it is occupying a structure or place which it uses for shelter or protection, or to obstruct access to any structure or place the species uses for shelter or protection.

European Protected Species relevant to the UK			
Ani	mals	Plants	
All bat species	Great Crested Newt	Yellow marsh saxifrage	Creeping marshwort
Large blue butterfly	Otter	Shore dock	Slender naiad
Wild cat	Smooth snake	Killarney fern	Fen Orchid
Marine turtles, dolphins, porpoises and whales (all species)	Sturgeon fish	Early gentian	Floating-leaved water plantain
Dormouse	Natterjack toad	Lady's slipper	
Sand lizard	Pool Frog		
Fisher's Estuarine Moth	Snail, Lesser Whirlpool Ram's-horn		

Other Protected Species			
Species	Legislation	Level of Protection	
Red Squirrel	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) Wild Mammals (Protection) Act 1996	 The species is listed on Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) makes the following actions offences: intentionally killing, injuring, or taking red squirrels intentionally or recklessly damaging, destroying or obstructing access to any structure or place used for shelter or protection disturbing red squirrels whilst they are using any structure or place used for shelter or protection 	
		Under the Wild Mammals (Protection) Act, squirrels are protected from unnecessar suffering by a number of methods.	
Birds	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)	 Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) it is an offence if any person: intentionally kills, injures or takes any wild bird intentionally takes, damages or destroys the nest of any wild bird whilst that nest is in use of being built; intentionally takes, damages or destroys eggs of any wild bird; 	
		Wild birds listed on Schedule 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (a amended) are protected from:	

²¹ Under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (CROW Act) extended the protection to cover reckless damage or disturbance

		 intentional or reckless disturbance whilst it is building a nest or is in, on or near a nest containing eggs or young; disturbance of dependent young
White- clawed Crayfish	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)	 Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) it is an offence if any person: intentionally takes a white-clawed crayfish sells, offers or exposes for sale, or has in his possession or transports for the purpose of sale, any live or dead white clawed crayfish or any part of, or anything derived from, such an animal